



WATERSHED PROJECT TECH TEAM RANKING

(NOT INTENDED FOR DISTRIBUTION OUTSIDE OF USBWP TECH TEAM)

HABITAT Project Name: _____

Date Ranked: _____

Habitat projects include: riparian protection (grazing management), riparian enhancement, bank stabilization, instream habitat enhancement (pool habitat enhancement, cover, resting areas, off-channel habitat, substrate enhancement), and flows (pulse, habitat forming, minimum target flow).

1. Limiting Factors

1.A REACH (Maximum point value 27): Identify the Existing Limiting Factors for the REACH as indicated in the Habitat Goals and Priorities table. This table can be accessed on the USBWP Tech Team website at www.watershedproject.org. Refer to "Goals" 3, 4 and 5 for the specific REACH. Using professional judgement, determine values for how the project Addresses Limiting Factors within the REACH. Multiply the Existing Limiting Factor value by the Addresses Limiting Factor value, then add these scores to obtain the Reach Subtotal.

REACH (as defined in the Habitat Goals and Priorities table)				
Existing Limiting Factors		Addresses Limiting Factors		
High=3 Medium=2 Low=1	x	High/Significantly Improves=3 Medium/Enhances=2 Low/Conserves=1 Does Not Address=0	=	Score
Instream Structures/Pools	x		=	0
Temperature/Riparian	x		=	0
Sediment	x		=	0
Reach Subtotal				= 0

1.B IMPACT AREA (Maximum point value 45): Using professional judgement, determine values for Existing Limiting Factors within the IMPACT AREA of the project. Determine values for how the project Addresses Limiting Factors within the IMPACT AREA. Multiply the Existing Limiting Factor value by the Addresses Limiting Factor value, then add these scores for the Impact Area Subtotal.

IMPACT AREA (immediate area affected by project)				
Existing Limiting Factors		Addresses Limiting Factors		
High=5 Medium=3 Low=1	x	High/Significantly Improves=3 Medium/Enhances=2 Low/Conserves=1 Does Not Address=0	=	Score
Instream Structures/Pools	x		=	0
Temperature/Riparian	x		=	0
Sediment	x		=	0
Impact Area Subtotal				= 0



WATERSHED PROJECT TECH TEAM RANKING

(NOT INTENDED FOR DISTRIBUTION OUTSIDE OF USBWP TECH TEAM)

2. BENEFITS TO SPECIES AND LIFE STAGES* (Maximum point value 45): Determine values based on professional judgement and/or coordination with regional fisheries biologists. Add all of the values for the subtotal. (Values: High/Significantly Improves=3; Medium/Enhances=2; Low/Conserves=1; Does Not Support=0)

Species \ Life Stage	Spawning / Incubation	Rearing	Over-wintering
Chinook Salmon			
Steelhead Trout			
Bull Trout			
Westslope Cutthroat Trout			
Red-band Trout			
Species and Life Stages Subtotal =			0

3. SHIPUSS PRIORITY FOR BIOLOGICAL FACTORS: Refer to Table 2 in the SHIPUSS document and enter the appropriate score based on the Adjusted Percent Total (APT) for stream or reach. Priority 1 (APT of 70% or greater) = 20, Priority 2 (APT of 50%-69%) = 10, and Priority 3 (APT of less than 50%) = 0.

TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Add the subtotals from 1A, 1B, 2 and 3):	0
--	---

OVERALL PROJECT RANKING: Using this criteria, a score of 0 to 20 is a low ranking; 21 to 60 is a medium ranking; and 61 or greater is a high ranking.

Comments relevant to the biological merit of this project:
